ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA483925 07/17/2012

Filing date:

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91194679
Party	Defendant DeMarcus J. Freemon
Correspondence Address	HERBERT T PATTY 938 ALTA MAR TERRRACE SAN JOSE, CA 95126 UNITED STATES htpattylaw@gmail.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	Herbert T. Patty
Filer's e-mail	htpattylaw@gmail.com, vange@ipparalegals.com
Signature	/Herbert T. Patty/
Date	07/17/2012
Attachments	Motion_to_Resume_Opposition.pdf (2 pages)(78161 bytes) EXHIBIT A.pdf (1 page)(113995 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Published in the Official Gazette on December 29, 20	09
JOHN PHILLIPS AVLON, an individual	
Opposer, v.	Opposition No. 91194679
DEMARCUS FREEMON, an individual	
Applicant.	}

United States Patent and Trademark Office Trademark Trial and Appeal Board P.O. Box 1451 Alexandria, VA 22313-1451

In the matter of application Serial No. 77/514,179

APPLICANT'S MOTION TO RESUME OPPOSITION PROCEEDING

On July 12, 2012, Opposer's attorney of record, Robert Barz of K&L Gates filed a motion for suspension for settlement with consent to the Trademark Trial and Appeal Board (the "Board"). In the motion, Opposer's attorney stated that "John P. Avlon <u>has secured the express</u> <u>consent of all other parties to this proceeding</u> for the suspension and resetting of dates requested therein" (emphasis added).

Applicant submits to the Board that Applicant neither gave Opposer consent nor stipulated to suspension of the opposition proceeding for a settlement. Opposer's attorney, Robert Barz, sent an email to Applicant's attorney, Herbert T. Patty, on July 12, 2012 requesting consent to file a consent motion to suspend for settlement negotiations. In response, Herbert T. Patty **denied** the request via email the same day as Opposer's attorney's request for consent. See Exhibit A.

Applicant's denial of Opposer's request for suspension of the opposition proceeding was clear. Applicant moves for the Board to resume the opposition. Opposer's attorney clearly understood that Applicant's attorney denied consent for the motion and Opposer's attorney

sought to mislead the Board by stating that "Opposer secured the consent of all other parties to

this proceeding" knowing full well that Applicant did not consent to said motion.

According to TBMP 510.02(a), "[i]f an adverse party has not consented, the filing may

still be made via ESTTA, but the filer should select the 'general filings' category from the drop

down menu instead of the 'consent motions' option." Opposer's motion for suspension for

settlement with consent was filed improperly and with the intent to deceive the Board.

CONCLUSION

For all the foregoing reasons, Applicant DeMarcus Freemon respectfully requests that the

Board reverse its decision and resume this opposition proceeding. Further, Applicant requests

that the Board subsequently dismisses this opposition proceeding entirely, with prejudice,

because Opposer's attorney sought to mislead the Board regarding Applicant's consent to the

motion for suspension.

DATED this 17th day of July, 2012

Respectfully submitted,

DEMARCUS FREEMON

By: /Herbert T. Patty/

His Attorney

Herbert T. Patty, Esq. 1625 The Alameda, Suite 508 San Jose, CA 95126

Email: htpattylaw@gmail.com

Tel: (408) 520-8701

Fax: (408) 549-9931

-2-

EXHIBIT A

